



Filing Receipt

Filed Date - 2026-04-22 11:03:50 AM

Control Number - 59475

Item Number - 1141

PUC Docket #59475
ENCOR 765v Line Protest

To All Texans,

This letter was written to express my opposition to the Big Hill 765v Transmission project proposed by ONCOR and LCRA.

The proposed project does not reflect the wishes of local landowners. This project serves only to advance the interests and profits of companies who's boardroom is far removed from the impacted area.

Some of the proposed routes are arguably NEGLIGENT running through the habitat of endangered birds, historic settlements, unique aquatic habitat housing our bio-diverse freshwater mussel population, and ancient artifacts from Natives.

This does not even credit the human impact. Many of these comments have been submitted by folks I know and recognize. Some of them are 4th or 5th generation Texans. They are pillars of the Rural communities.

Even the new homeowners, many of whom moved out here to escape the hustle and bustle of the city deserve the preservation of the lifestyle they chose to seek out. While the claims are not generational, the complaint is valid none-the-less. These 120-140 ft towers will be skyscrapers in a rural community where the tallest building is 3 stories, 20% of the proposed height.

This project does NOT reflect the wants & needs of the communities it affects. Respectfully, it has no place out here. These ambitions should not leave the boardroom, where it was a brief item on an agenda, not a change in lifestyle and land-character that will last generations.

Then we get to independent segments:

J-31 follows the pipeline, still cuts across multiple legacy ranches

J-22 affects at least 2 spring-fed creeks, tributaries of the Colorado River

J-11 cuts across the Colorado Bend State Park, which showcases unique spring-fed ecosystems that can't be found outside a roughly 20 mile radius. Also affecting Legacy Ranches on both sides of the river.

L4, K61, & K13 all cross the San Saba River shallows, an environmentally sensitive area where water flow is of utmost importance

This proposal lacks merit and requires significantly more planning and research into the local areas. It feels like a decision was made in a glass cube hundreds of miles away, not on the ground in the affected area.

VOTE NO - Joshua Robertson